Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) including Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia Guideline

INTRODUCTION

Definition

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and complicated bacterial pneumonia are both classifications of pneumonia. ***Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP)*** is defined as an acute infection of the lower respiratory tract with parenchymal involvement in a previously healthy child who acquired the infection outside of the hospital. The diagnosis may be made based on clinical findings of fever, cough, and tachypnea with associated findings on lung examination; however the clinical presentation may vary depending on age, responsible pathogen, and severity of infection. Small parapneumonic effusions are common with uncomplicated CAP and often resolve with appropriate antibiotic therapy. **Complicated bacterial pneumonia** is defined as a pneumonia which is associated with additional findings that require an adjusted evaluation and treatment regimen, such as the presence of a moderate-to-large or complex parapneumonic effusion, empyema, lung abscess, or pneumatocele.

This guideline specifically addresses the evaluation and treatment of community-acquired pneumonia and complicated bacterial pneumonia associated with parapneumonic effusion and empyema.[301-302] Discussion of lung abscess and pneumatocele is excluded from this guideline.

Incidence

Community-acquired bacterial pneumonia is a significant disease in infants and children, remaining as a top reason for pediatric hospitalization in the United States. Published studies vary in their reported incidence of pneumonia in the developed world; however, generally accepted rates for children < 5 years of age are 3-4 cases per 100 children. The incidence is inversely proportional to patient age.[1]

Recent evidence suggests an increase in **complicated pneumonia**, with an incidence of 5.5/100,000 per pneumonia hospitalization.[307] Even with the introduction of the conjugate pneumococcal vaccine and decreased burden of other serious pneumococcal infections (bacteremia, meningitis, and CAP), the rates of empyema continue to increase.

Etiology

The etiology of CAP varies by age, with viral etiologies predominating in infants and toddlers, while bacterial etiologies are more common in older children and adolescents. In numerous studies, the most commonly identified bacterial pathogens for CAP include *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. Other less frequently identified bacteria include nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*, group A *Streptococcus, Chlamydophila pneumoniae, Moraxella catarrhalis, and Staphylococcus aureus*.[1-5]

For complicated bacterial pneumonia, the predominant bacteria are *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Complicated bacterial pneumonia may also be caused by *Streptococcus pyogenes, Haemophilus influenzae* type B, and various anaerobes. Mycobacterial and viral infections are less common causes of complicated pneumonia but can be associated with the development of pleural effusions. These effusions are usually small and respond well to antibiotic therapy.[306]

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of CAP typically relies on a combination of clinical history, physical exam findings, and imaging. Key elements for diagnosing CAP include history of fever, cough, tachypnea, or respiratory distress, along with physical exam findings such as increased work of breathing, crackles, decreased breath sounds, or hypoxemia. Chest X-ray (CXR) is the most common imaging used, and blood work is not required to make the diagnosis.

For bacterial pneumonia specifically, the diagnosis can often be confirmed through similar clinical and physical exam findings, with tachypnea being particularly indicative. Additional symptoms supporting the diagnosis include fever, cough, and either diminished breath sounds or crackles on auscultation. When diagnosing complicated bacterial pneumonia, it should be considered in children who do not respond to appropriate antibiotic therapy, exhibit clinical deterioration while on therapy, or experience recurrent symptoms. This differentiation is crucial for guiding more targeted and effective treatment plans for complicated cases.

Differential diagnosis for complicated bacterial pneumonia:

- Congestive heart failure
- Malignancy
- Pneumothorax
- Chylothorax
- Hemothorax
- Congenital pulmonary airway malformation
- Pulmonary sequestration

Guideline Inclusion Criteria

- Diagnosis of suspected CAP in patients > 3 months through 18 years-of-age
- Diagnosis of suspected complicated bacterial pneumonia with a moderate-to-large parapneumonic effusion or empyema

Guideline Exclusion Criteria

- Children ≤ 3 months
- Cystic fibrosis
- Chronic lung disease
- Immunodeficiency
- Immunosuppression (e.g., children undergoing chemo or chronic steroid use)
- Moderate to large or complex effusions, lung abscess or pneumatocele
- Sickle cell disease
- History of feeding difficulties or aspiration
- Recent history of thoracic trauma
- Extensive comorbidities
- Tracheostomy tube presence
- If suspected viral etiology and no suspicion of bacterial coinfection
- Pneumonia suspected to be from fungal disease or mycobacterial infection

CRITICAL POINTS OF EVIDENCE

Evidence Supports

- Amoxicillin (90 mg/kg/day divided bid or tid) as first-line agent for treatment of CAP (Refer to Addendum: CAP Guidelines for additional antibiotic options.)
- A trial of amoxicillin, ampicillin, or amoxicillin-clavulanate under observation if patients report a non IgE-mediated or non-serious reaction to penicillin as the majority of patients are not truly allergic and no alternative agent provides as optimal of coverage for *S. pneumoniae*.
- For complicated-bacterial pneumonia, primary use of ultrasound (US) over chest computed tomography (CT) to evaluate quality of pleural fluid.[313-315]
- For complicated-bacterial pneumonia, use of chest tube drainage with fibrinolytics may be as effective as video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) in the treatment of complicated bacterial pneumonia.[319-338]

Evidence Lacking/Inconclusive

- Efficacy of treatment at altering clinical course or outcomes for *Mycoplasma* pneumonia.
- Importance of vaccination status with regard to etiology of CAP, specifically the use of ceftriaxone in all patients not fully immunized for H. influenzae type b and S. pneumoniae.
- The optimal antibiotic for treatment of uncomplicated CAP in patients with an IgE-mediated penicillin allergy.
- The optimal duration of therapy for treatment of uncomplicated CAP.
- Routine use of oseltamivir in the treatment of patients with suspected, but lack of documented, influenza virus infection.

Evidence Refutes

- Correlation of laboratory markers in differentiating viral from bacterial CAP.
- The use of azithromycin as monotherapy for treatment of uncomplicated CAP.
- Utility of blood cultures in management of CAP in the outpatient setting.

PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS AND CLINICAL MANAGEMENT

Patient Assessment/Diagnosis

- Pulse oximetry should be performed in all patients suspected of having CAP. Hypoxemia is defined as oxygen saturation < 90% on room air.[1,6,7]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- For complicated bacterial pneumonia, patients should have an evaluation for sepsis and SIRS.
- For complicated bacterial pneumonia, an accurate and expedient diagnosis of an etiologic agent provides for informed decision making, resulting in improved care with focused antimicrobial therapy, and fewer interventions. [308]

Laboratory Testing

- Blood tests such as complete blood counts (CBC), c-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and procalcitonin are not recommended in the routine evaluation of children with CAP as these tests do not reliably differentiate between viral and bacterial etiologies of pneumonia.[8-12]
  (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)
- Complete blood cell count and an electrolyte panel should be obtained for admitted patients with complicated bacterial pneumonia, to be interpreted in the context of the clinical examination and other laboratory and imaging studies.[341- 345]
  (Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- Acute-phase reactants (ESR and CRP) may be used in conjunction with clinical findings to assess response to therapy. Declining values of CRP may correlate with improvement in clinical symptoms and has the potential to serve as an objective measure of disease resolution.[342-346]
  (Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- Repeated laboratory testing is not recommended in patients with clear clinical improvement; however repeat laboratory tests, including acute phase reactant tests, should be considered for patients that show signs of deterioration or are not responding within 48-72 hours after initiation of antibiotic therapy.
- Rapid testing for influenza should be considered in patients presenting with suspected CAP during the influenza season. [1]
  (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)
- Consider testing for SARS CoV2 if it is circulating in the community.
- Routine blood cultures should not be performed in evaluation of uncomplicated CAP in the outpatient setting.[1,13]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- Blood cultures should be obtained in children who fail to demonstrate clinical improvement, have progressive symptoms, have complicated bacterial pneumonia, or experience deterioration after initiation of antibiotic therapy.[1,13]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- Gram stain and bacterial culture of pleural fluid should be performed whenever a pleural fluid specimen is obtained.
  (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)
- For patients with complicated bacterial pneumonia, nasal PCR for MRSA and MSSA is recommended to assist with possible deescalation of therapy.

- For patients with complicated bacterial pneumonia, blood cultures and complete blood cell count should be obtained in all admitted patients.[338-341]
  (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- For complicated bacterial pneumonia, antigen testing or nucleic acid amplification through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) should be obtained if bacterial culture is negative; the test increases the detection of pathogens in pleural fluid and may be useful for management. [347-348]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)

Imaging

- Routine chest radiographs (CXRs) are not required to confirm the diagnosis of CAP in patients well enough to be treated in the outpatient setting[1,13]; however, this must be weighed against evidence that CXR use may decrease overtreatment of viral infections with antimicrobial therapy.[14-16]
  (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)
- CXR should be obtained in patients who fail to respond to therapy, deteriorate, or are hospitalized for CAP. [1]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- Repeat CXR are not necessary in children who recovered uneventfully from CAP unless there is concern for foreign body, mass, or anatomic anomaly. [1]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- CXR should be used to confirm the presence of pleural fluid and to determine parapneumonic effusion size. Repeat CXR should be considered in patients with worsening respiratory distress or clinical instability including increase in fever, respiratory rate, and FiO_2 required.[301,308-309] Ultrasound may not visualize worsening of pleural disease.
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- Further imaging with chest ultrasound or computed tomography should be conducted for patients with moderate (¼ to ½ hemi-thorax) or large (1/2 hemi-thorax) effusion to determine effusion characteristics, as well as for patients that show signs of deterioration or no improvement within 48-72 hours of initiation of antibiotic therapy.[301, 307-309] Ultrasound is preferred over chest computed tomography to evaluate.[309, 313-318]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- Chest computed tomography indicated for atypical clinical or radiological features, such as parenchymal abscess.

Management

- High-dose amoxicillin or ampicillin should be used as first-line treatment in patients with CAP suspected to be of bacterial etiology. Refer to Addendum 1 and the treatment algorithms for further guidance.[1]
  (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)
- Clindamycin is a good oral option for treating CAP of bacterial etiology in patients with an IgE-mediated penicillin allergy (Refer to Addendum 1). [1,17]
  (Weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- In patients not responding to first-line antibiotics, clinicians should consider evaluating for the presence of an effusion, antimicrobial resistance, and/or alternative etiologies. [1,18]
  (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)
- Supplemental oxygen should to administered to patients with oxygen saturations < 90%. [1,18]
  (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)
- For ***complicated-bacterial pneumonia:***
  - Surgical Management
    - Simple effusion: Failure of antibiotics associated with lack of improvement in clinical signs including fever, respiratory rate, and FiO_2 within 48-72 hours should be followed up with chest tube drainage with/without fibrinolytics or VATS as determined through surgical consultation and clinical judgment.[313-331]
    - Complex effusion: Physician discretion should be used to determine appropriate surgical intervention; options include chest tube drainage with/without fibrinolytics and VATS.[313-331]
      (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)
  - Antibiotic Management
    - Non-toxic appearance: ceftriaxone and clindamycin
      - Allergy to ceftriaxone: levofloxacin and clindamycin
      - Allergy to clindamycin: ceftriaxone and vancomycin
    - Toxic Appearance: ceftriaxone and vancomycin
      - Allergy to ceftriaxone: levofloxacin and vancomycin
      - Allergy to vancomycin: ceftriaxone and linezolid
    - Antibiotic therapy should be assessed if there is lack of improvement in clinical signs including fever, respiratory rate, and FiO2 within 48-72 hours.

- For patients who meet criteria for sepsis, appropriate IVF resuscitation and timely antibiotics should be given.

Consults and referrals

Subspecialty consultation is generally not required in the management of uncomplicated CAP but is common for patients with complicated bacterial pneumonia. Instances when subspecialty consultation should be considered, includes but is not limited to the following:

- **Pediatric Critical Care (PICU):** Consultation is advised if the patient meets criteria for PICU admission. Refer to the subsequent section for more details.
- **Pediatric Infectious Disease:** Consider for patients with a complicated clinical course, including those not improving with routine management, when concerned for an unusual pathogen, or for those with a complicated bacterial pneumonia. ID can assist with decisions surrounding diagnostic testing, antibiotic treatment, and length of treatment.
- **Pediatric Surgical:** Recommended for patients with complicated pneumonia, particularly those with moderate or large pleural effusions or small effusions when there are signs of deterioration or lack of improvement within 48-72 hours of initiation of antibiotic therapy. When needed, Pediatric surgery will manage chest tubes and the administration of tPA.
- **Pediatric Pulmonologist:** Consider for patients with recurrent pneumonia, necrotizing pneumonia, or if concerned for aspirated foreign body.

PATIENT DISPOSITION

Admission Criteria

- Sepsis
- Moderate-to-severe retractions, grunting, or nasal flaring
- Altered mental status or lethargy
- Oxygen saturations persistently < 90% on room air
- Known moderate-to-large effusion, empyema, or necrotizing changes
- Failure of outpatient antibiotic therapy (at provider's discretion)
- Inability to tolerate oral antibiotics
- Consider admission if concern for inability to comply with therapy or obtain appropriate outpatient follow-up
- Consider admission for patients < 6 months of age if concern for bacterial etiology

PICU Admission Criteria

- Altered mental status
- Sepsis
- FiO_2 > 50% required to maintain sats ≥ 90%
- Concern for impending respiratory failure

Discharge Criteria for uncomplicated CAP

- Ability to tolerate oral antibiotics
- Mildly increased to normal work of breathing
- Oxygen saturations > 90 % on room air for at least 8 hours
- Close outpatient follow-up ensured
- Improvement in clinical symptoms, including fever and respiratory rate, if indicated

Discharge Criteria for Complicated-Bacterial Pneumonia

- Ability to tolerate oral antibiotics
- Documented overall clinical improvement, including level of activity, appetite, and decreased fever for at least 12-24 hours
- Documented resolution of tachycardia and respiratory symptoms, including tachypnea and respiratory distress
- Pulse oximetry measurements > 90% in room air for at least 12 hours
- Barriers to care, including monitoring and compliance with therapy at home are assessed

Follow-up

- Follow-up with a primary care provider is recommended within 48-72 hours to assess response to therapy. Earlier follow-up is indicated if patients experience worsening of clinical condition.
- Repeat CXR is indicated in 4-6 weeks for patients with recurrent pneumonia involving the same lobe or if concerned for anatomic anomaly, chest mass, or foreign body aspiration.[1]
- For **Complicated-Bacterial Pneumonia,** repeat CXR is recommended one month post completion of the antibiotic course.[311-312] ***(Strong recommendation; moderate quality evidence.)***

OUTCOME MEASURES

Inpatient antibiotic use (broad-spectrum and narrow-spectrum)
ED antibiotic use (broad-spectrum and narrow-spectrum)
Return to ED within 72 hours
Readmission within 15 days
Average length-of-stay
CBC Utilization Rate
Blood Culture Utilization Rate
For **Complicated-Bacterial Pneumonia**, see Addendum 5: DCMC Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia Scorecard

PREVENTION

Vaccination against S. pneumoniae (PCV15), H. influenzae type b, and influenza virus is recommended to prevent CAP and its complications. Refer to the CDC's immunization guidelines for more information.

Parents and healthcare providers should be vaccinated against influenza and pertussis.[1]

ADDENDUMS

1. *Outpatient* Treatment of Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Guidelines for Empiric Antimicrobial Selection
2. *Inpatient* Treatment of Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Guidelines for Empiric Antimicrobial Selection
3. *Inpatient* Transition-to-Home for Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Guidelines for oral step-down therapy when causative organism is unknown
4. Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia Infectious Diseases Recommendations
5. DCMC Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia SCORECARD
6. Discussion and Review of the Evidence

Addendum 1: Outpatient Treatment of Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Guidelines for Empiric Antimicrobial Selection

Medication	Dose	Maximum Dose
First-Line Therapy		
Amoxicillin	90 mg/kg/day divided tid or bid	1 gram/dose (or 3 grams/day)
First-Line therapy: IgE-Mediated Allergy to Penicillins		
Clindamycin	30–40 mg/kg/day divided tid	450 mg/dose
*- **OR** one of the choices below -*		
(Note: Evidence shows that oral clindamycin is superior to oral cephalosporins for the treatment of S. pneumoniae[€])		
Cefuroxime[€]	30 mg/kg/day divided bid	500 mg/dose
Cefpodoxime[€]	10 mg/kg/day divided bid	200 mg/dose
Treatment Failure[†]		
Amoxicillin-clavulanate [£]	90 mg/kg/day amoxicillin component divided bid	875 mg/dose[#] (tablet) 1 grams/dose (ES suspension)
*- **AND** consider the addition of a macrolide -*		
Azithromycin*	10 mg/kg once daily x3 doses	500 mg
Treatment Failure: IgE-Mediated Allergy to Penicillins		
Clindamycin[α]	See dosing above	See above
*- **PLUS** -*		
Azithromycin*	See dosing above	See above
Concern for Atypical Infection		
Azithromycin*	See dosing above	See above
Concern for Atypical Infection: IgE-Mediated Allergy		
Clarithromycin	15 mg/kg/day divided bid	500 mg/dose
Doxycycline (>7 years of age only)	4 mg/kg/day divided bid	100 mg/dose
Concern for Influenza (Especially patients < 2 years or with high-risk conditions)		
Oseltamivir	Infants < 1 year: 3 mg/kg/dose bid Children > 1 year: < 15 kg: 30 mg bid; > 15 to 23 kg: 45 mg bid; > 23 to 40 kg: 60 mg bid; > 40 kg: 75 mg bid	30 mg/dose if < 15 kg
Prevention of Antibiotic-associated Diarrhea		
Culturelle	1 capsule once daily	
Florastor	1 capsule twice daily	

Annotations:

€ Based on multiple in-vitro studies and our DCMC antibiogram, oral cephalosporins are inferior to oral amoxicillin for the treatment of disease caused by S. pneumoniae. The 2016-2017 DCMC antibiogram demonstrates decreasing penicillin MICs for S. pneumoniae (less penicillin resistance) and that clindamycin is superior to oral cephalosporins such as cefuroxime for treating S. pneumoniae. In-vitro data evaluating S. pneumoniae MICs demonstrate that cefpodoxime is superior to other oral second or third-generation cephalosporins such as cefprozil, cefuroxime, and cefdinir, with cefdinir

and cefixime being the least efficacious; however, **cefpodoxime may require prior authorization**.

† Treatment failure is defined as no improvement within 48-72 hours of appropriate therapy or worsening on appropriate therapy; **consider less common CAP etiologies such as penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae, H. influenzae, and atypical organisms.**

α Clindamycin does not treat H. influenzae; therefore, the addition of Azithromycin to Clindamycin or use of a second or third-generation cephalosporin over Clindamycin should be considered in the penicillin-allergic patient in whom there is concern for H. influenzae £ The preferred dosing formulation for liquid medication is 600 mg Amoxicillin with 42.9 mg clavulanate per 5 mL; the preferred dosing for tablet form is 875 mg (contains 125 mg clavulanate) given bid

* Due to lack of evidence regarding the efficacy of antimicrobials in altering the clinical course of *Mycoplasma* CAP, the difficulty in accurate clinical diagnosis and the risk of serious sequelae with untreated *S. pneumoniae*, please consider using these antimicrobials only in combination with beta lactam therapy.

£ The preferred dosing formulation for liquid medication is 600 mg Amoxicillin with 42.9 mg clavulanate per 5 mL; the preferred dosing for tablet form is 875 mg (contains 125 mg clavulanate) given bid.

Amoxicillin-clavulanate maximum dose is limited by GI adverse events due to the clavulanic acid component. Daily amount of clavulanic acid should be limited to 250 mg, leading to varied max daily amounts of amoxicillin depending on formulation: 1750 mg for Augmentin 875-125 mg (7:1) tablet, 1750 mg for Augmentin 400 mg-57 mg per 5 mL (7:1) suspension, 3000 mg for Augmentin ES 600-42.9 mg per 5 mL (14:1) suspension.

Addendum 2: Inpatient Treatment of Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Guidelines for Empiric Antimicrobial Selection

- **First-line**: Ampicillin
  - o IgE-mediated allergy to penicillins: Ceftriaxone or Clindamycin
- **Outpatient treatment failure†:**
  - o First line: (Amp/sulbactam or Ceftriaxone) +/- atypical coverage
  - o IgE-mediated allergy to penicillins: (Ceftriaxone +/- atypical coverage) or (Clindamycin[α] AND azithromycin) or Levofloxacin
- **Life-threatening infection** (rapid deterioration, septic shock, etc)**:**
  - o First line[#]: Ceftriaxone + Vancomycin (add Clindamycin if signs of toxin-mediated disease)
- **Complicated pneumonia or concern for *S. aureus*** (e.g. empyema, necrotizing changes, or moderate-to-large effusion)[ƒ]**:**
  - o First-line[#]: Ceftriaxone + (Clindamycin or Vancomycin)
- **Consistent with an atypical infection*:**
  - o First-line: Azithromycin
  - o IgE-mediated allergy: Clarithromycin or Doxycycline (>7 yrs of age)
- **Concern for influenza:**
  - o First-line: Add Oseltamivir; consider broadening coverage to include S. aureus if concern for secondary bacterial etiology and patient severely ill
- **Prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea**: Consider the addition of an oral probiotic (e.g. Culturelle or Florastor)

Recommended Doses of Commonly Used Antimicrobials

Medication	Route	Dose	Max Dose
Ampicillin and Amp/Sulbactam	IV	50 mg/kg/dose q6hrs	2 grams/dose
Azithromycin	Po or IV	10 mg/kg once daily x3 doses	500 mg
Ceftriaxone[$]	IV or IM	75 -100 mg/kg/day	2 grams/dose/day
Clindamycin	Po or IV	30–40 mg/kg/day divided tid or qid	600 mg/dose; 2700 mg/day
Levofloxacin	Po or IV	6 months – <5 years: 16–20 mg/kg/day divided bid ≥ 5 years: 10 mg/kg/day once daily	750 mg/day
Oseltamivir	Po	Infants < 1 year: 3 mg/kg/dose bid Children > 1 year: < 15 kg: 30 mg bid; > 15 to 23 kg: 45 mg bid; > 23 to 40 kg: 60 mg bid; > 40 kg: 75 mg bid	30 mg/dose if <15 kg
Vancomycin	IV	15 mg/kg/dose every 6 hours	1000 mg/dose

Annotations:

† Treatment failure is defined as no improvement within 48-72 hours of appropriate therapy or worsening on appropriate therapy; consider less common CAP etiologies such as penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae, S. aureus, H. influenzae, and atypical organisms α Clindamycin does not treat disease caused by *H. influenzae*; therefore, the addition of Azithromycin to Clindamycin or use of a second or third generation cephalosporin over Clindamycin is required for the penicillin-allergic patient in whom there is concern for *H. influenzae* # For patients with an IgE-mediated allergy to Ceftriaxone, Levofloxacin is recommended; For patients with an allergy to Vancomycin, Linezolid is recommended (Linezolid use requires ID consultation)

ƒ Refer to DCMC Complicated Pneumonia Guidelines

* Due to lack of evidence regarding the efficacy of antimicrobials in altering the clinical course of *Mycoplasma* CAP, the difficulty in accurate clinical diagnosis and the risk of serious sequelae with untreated *S. pneumoniae*, please consider using these antimicrobials only in combination with beta-lactam therapy.

$ Ceftriaxone at 100 mg/kg dosing is reserved for patients in whom there is a concern for resistant S. pneumoniae or critically ill (divided bid)

Addendum 3: Inpatient Transition-to-Home for Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Guidelines for oral step-down therapy when causative organism is unknown

Inpatient Antimicrobial	Outpatient Oral Antimicrobial with Dosing	Maximum Dose
Ampicillin	Amoxicillin: 90 mg/kg/day divided bid or tid	1 gram/dose (or 3 grams/day)
Amp/Sulbactam	Amoxicillin-clavulanate£: 90 mg/kg/day divided bid (dosing based on Amoxicillin component)	875 mg/dose# (tablet) 1 gram/dose (ES suspension)
Azithromycin	Azithromycin: 10 mg/kg once daily x3 doses	500 mg
Ceftriaxone (If Ceftriaxone was administered without the patient meeting criteria for its use, the drug of choice for oral step-down therapy is Amoxicillin.)	Effusion, empyema, complicated clinical course, or desire coverage for H. influenzae: Amoxicillin-clavulanate£: 90 mg/kg/day divided bid (dosing based on amoxicillin component) IgE-mediated penicillin allergy€: Clindamycin: 30 mg/kg/day divided tid Cefuroxime: 30 mg/kg/day divided bid Cefpodoxime: 10 mg/kg/day divided bid (not covered by Medicaid) Concern for resistant S. pneumoniae (some treatment failures): Levofloxacin: 6 mos – <5 yrs: 16–20 mg/kg/day divided bid; 5 yrs – 16 yrs: 8–10 mg/kg/day once daily	 875 mg/dose# (tablet) 1 gram/dose (ES suspension 450 mg/dose 500 mg/dose 200 mg/dose 750 mg/day
Clindamycinα	Clindamycin: 30 mg/kg/day divided tid	600 mg/dose
Levofloxacin	Levofloxacin: 6 mos – <5 yrs: 16–20 mg/kg/day divided bid; 5 yrs -16 yrs: 10 mg/kg/day once daily	750 mg/day
Oseltamivir	Infants < 1 year: 3 mg/kg/dose bid x 5 days Children > 1 year: < 15 kg: 30 mg bid x 5 days; > 15 to 23 kg: 45 mg bid x 5 days; > 23 to 40 kg: 60 mg bid x 5 days; > 40 kg: 75 mg bid x 5 days	30 mg/dose if <15 kg

Consider the addition of an oral probiotic to decrease the risk of antibiotic-associated diarrhea

Annotations:

£ The preferred dosing formulation for liquid medication is 600 mg Amoxicillin with 42.9 mg clavulanate per 5 mL; the preferred dosing for tablet form is 875 mg (contains 125 mg clavulanate) given bid.

Amoxicillin-clavulanate maximum dose is limited by GI adverse events due to the clavulanic acid component. Daily amount of clavulanic acid should be limited to 250 mg, leading to varied max daily amounts of amoxicillin depending on formulation: 1750 mg for Augmentin 875-125 mg (7:1) tablet, 1750 mg for Augmentin 400 mg-57 mg per 5 mL (7:1) suspension, 3000 mg for Augmentin ES 600-42.9 mg per 5 mL (14:1) suspension.

€ Based on multiple in-vitro studies and our DCMC antibiogram, oral cephalosporins are inferior to oral amoxicillin for the treatment of disease caused by S. pneumoniae. The 2016-2017 DCMC antibiogram demonstrates decreasing penicillin MICs for S. pneumoniae (less penicillin resistance) and that clindamycin is superior to oral cephalosporins such as cefuroxime for treating S. pneumoniae. In-vitro data evaluating S. pneumoniae MICs demonstrate that cefpodoxime is superior to other oral second or third-generation cephalosporins such as cefprozil, cefuroxime, and cefdinir, with cefdinir and cefixime being the least efficacious; however, **cefpodoxime may require prior authorization**.

α Clindamycin does not treat disease caused by *H. influenzae*; therefore, the addition of Azithromycin to Clindamycin or use of a second or third generation cephalosporin over Clindamycin is required for the penicillin-allergic patient in whom there is concern for *H. influenzae.*

Addendum 4: Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia Recommendations

Antibiotics

- Non-toxic appearance:
  - Negative Nasal PCR: Ceftriaxone
  - Positive Nasal PCR: Ceftriaxone and Clindamycin
    - Allergy to Ceftriaxone: Levofloxacin and Clindamycin
    - Allergy to Clindamycin: Ceftriaxone and Vancomycin
- Toxic Appearance: Ceftriaxone and Vancomycin
  - Allergy to Ceftriaxone: Levofloxacin and Vancomycin
  - Allergy to Vancomycin: Ceftriaxone and Linezolid

Laboratory Tests

- Blood Culture
- CBC with differential
- BMP
- CRP
- ESR
- Nasal PCR for MRSA and MSSA
- If tracheal secretions obtained:
  - Gram stain and Culture - Save extra fluid in lab for future PCR if culture is negative
  - PCR for staph aureus
  - PCR for strep pneumonia
  - PCR for mycoplasma
- If Pleural Fluid obtained:
  - Gram stain and Culture
  - Cell count and differential - Save extra fluid in lab for future PCR if culture is negative
  - PCR for staph aureus
  - PCR for strep pneumonia
  - PCR for mycoplasma
- If blood cultures are negative consider:
  - nasal swab for staph aureus culture
  - nasal swab for strep pneumonia culture

Alteplase (TPA)

- COMPASS/Powerchart Order = alteplase
  - Route = intrathoracic
  - Frequency = qDay
  - Duration = 3
  - Duration unit = day(s)
  - Order Comments = Mix with __ mL (see below) of NS and instill via Chest Tube
  - Dwell time = 60 minutes; chest tube remains clamped during dwell time

Less than or equal to 10 kg	1 mg in 20 mL of NS
Greater than 10 kg to 20 kg	2 mg in 40 mL of NS
Greater than 20 kg to 30 kg	3 mg in 40 mL of NS
Greater than 30 kg	4 mg in 40 mL of NS

Addendum 5: DCMC Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia SCORECARD

Type of Measure	Domain	Measure Definition	Donabedian Classification	IOM Domain(s)
Care Process Team	Treatment	Utilization of VATS	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
		Utilization of chest tube drainage with fibrynolitics	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
		Utilization of chest tube drainage without fibrynolitics	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
		Ultrasound utilization	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
		Computed Tomography utilization	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
	Efficiency in Diagnosis	Utilization of Laboratory tests: Blood Culture, CBC with differential, BMP, CRP, and ESR	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
	Medication	Antimicrobial choice and dose	Process	Effective, Efficient, Safe
		Rate of VATS subsequent to non-surgical drainage	Outcome	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
		Utilization of PICC line	Process	Effective, Efficient, Equitable, Safe
Avoidable Events	Hospitalizations	Rate of readmission to hospital within 30 days	Outcome	Effective, Efficient, Safe
Throughput		Average Length of Stay	Outcome	Care Coordination, Effective, Efficient, Safe, Timely
Financial		Average Total Cost of Care	Outcome	Effective, Efficient

ADDENDUM 6: Discussion and Review of the Evidence

Contents

1 Etiology

The etiology of CAP varies by age, with viral etiologies predominating in infants and toddlers and bacterial etiologies predominating in children and adolescents. In numerous studies, the most commonly identified bacterial etiologies include *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* with nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*, group A *Streptococcus*, *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* identified much less frequently.[1-5,19-21] A significant limitation to all of the studies, however, is that they only discover the causative pathogens for which they are testing, likely resulting in under-detection of some organisms. Additionally, no two studies utilize the same testing methods, even for the same organism; their definitions of pneumonia are occasionally different; and not all study participants in any given study undergo testing for every organism under investigation.

1.1 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

Even after the introduction of pneumococcal vaccines in the last ten years, *S. pneumoniae* continues to be an important pathogen in childhood CAP.[18,19] In addition to lobar pneumonia, pneumococcus can cause complicated disease such as effusion, empyema, and necrotizing pneumonia.[1] Rarely, *S. pneumoniae* can cause hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) in severe or complicated disease. [22-25]

The seven-valent pneumococcal vaccine, introduced in 2000, was effective in decreasing the incidence of clinical and radiographically confirmed pneumonia in children less than age 2.[26] Because of changes in the most common serotypes after introduction of the seven-valent vaccine, the 13-valent pneumococcal vaccine was introduced in 2010 and seems to have yielded similarly impressive results.[27-28] The serotypes most commonly associated with HUS are included in the 13-valent vaccine. [22-23]

Likely because the 13-valent vaccine is reducing highly drug-resistant forms of pneumococcus, DCMC's most recent antibiogram demonstrates that all locally isolated strains of pneumococcus are sensitive to penicillin (MICs ≤ 2 mg/L). Locally, there are continued high levels of resistance to azithromycin.

1.2 *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*

M. pneumoniae is a common etiology of CAP in children, with some studies detecting it in patients even as young as age 2 to 3 years. [4,5,19,29] Recent systematic reviews, however, have shown that the clinical diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* is difficult in all ages, with very few exam or CXR findings being sensitive or specific for *Mycoplasma* infection.[30] Diagnostic testing is problematic as well since it is not available in a clinically relevant time frame and is confounded by a high prevalence of asymptomatic carriage. [31-32] Despite these known difficulties with accurate diagnosis, a recent European study suggests that *M. pneumoniae* infection should be considered in patients who are not responding to initial antibiotic therapy. [18] Recently, multiple systematic reviews have failed to uncover any evidence regarding efficacy of *Mycoplasma* treatment. [33-34]

1.3 *Haemophilus influenzae*

The IDSA's 2011 pediatric CAP guidelines describe *H. influenzae* as an infrequent pathogen in pediatric CAP. [1] Widespread use of the *H. influenzae* type b (Hib) vaccine since the 1980's has decreased the incidence of Hib, but studies continue to demonstrate nontypeable *H. influenzae* as a causative organism in CAP [2-3] and studies investigating invasive *H. influenzae* disease have described pneumonia as the primary source of infection. [23,35-36] In a recent European study evaluating the etiology of CAP in patients not responding to treatment, *H. influenzae* was the most common etiology identified by BAL specimen, but this study was limited by the lack of a control group. [18]Infrequent use of specific testing for *H. influenzae* (e.g. serum titers, PCR, etc) may result in under-identification of this pathogen in many studies. [4]

1.4 *Streptococcus pyogenes*

Group A *Streptococcus* is an uncommon, though increasingly recognized, cause of pediatric CAP. [1,19,37]It is associated with more severe and rapid clinical presentations, toxin-mediated disease, empyemas, and necrotizing pneumonia. [1,37-39] One study comparing GAS pneumonia to those caused by *S. pneumoniae* found that GAS disease is more likely to cause effusion, prolonged fever, and longer hospital stays. [39]

1.5 *Staphylococcus aureus*

S. aureus is an infrequent[4,19,40] but potentially devastating cause of CAP. It is associated with recent viral infections,

especially influenza [37,41-42], and commonly causes parapneumonic empyemas, cavitary lesions, pneumatoceles, pulmonary abscesses, and necrotizing pneumonias.[43-44]

1.6 Viruses

Viral infections, including RSV and influenza, are common etiologies of CAP, especially in young children [1,4,8,20]. A secondary bacterial pneumonia is unlikely in a viral illness but should be considered if a patient experiences clinical worsening, persistent fever, or a biphasic illness (initial improvement followed by recurrence of fever and deterioration). When a secondary bacterial pneumonia does occur in the presence of influenza infection, patients appear to be at increased risk of disease caused by *S. aureus* and group A *Streptococcus* in addition to *S. pneumoniae* [1,37,41-42] .

1.7 Underimmunized patients

Despite the IDSA's 2011 recommendations that under-immunized children hospitalized with CAP receive broader-spectrum antibiotic therapy than appropriately immunized children, no studies were found that evaluate how the etiology of CAP differs between vaccinated and unvaccinated children. There is evidence, however, that the introduction of the 7-valent and 13-valent pneumococcal vaccines does protect unvaccinated populations from invasive disease caused by these vaccine serotypes [28,45] and that pneumococcal serotypes causing nasopharyngeal colonization is altered even in unvaccinated individuals after introduction of the 13-valent pneumococcal vaccination in their community [46-47]. Additionally, the serotypes discovered in adults with invasive pneumococcal disease are associated with the vaccination status of that patient's child contacts [48].

2 Diagnostic Evaluation

2.1 History

Patients with community-acquired pneumonia commonly present with symptoms of fever, cough, tachypnea, and respiratory distress. Some patients may complain of shortness of breath, chest pain, vomiting, or abdominal pain. Occasionally, they will also experience systemic symptoms such as headache and malaise. One study demonstrated that a history of chest pain and a longer duration of fever are both correlated with the finding of radiographic pneumonia in the emergency department.[49]

As part of routine history-taking for suspected CAP, providers should also assess the patient's vaccination and immunologic status and inquire about risk factors for fungal disease, tuberculosis, and aspiration pneumonia. Aspiration pneumonia may be suspected in the setting of neurologic impairment, swallowing dysfunction, or recent sedation.

2.2 Physical Exam

A thorough physical exam is important to the diagnosis and evaluation of community acquired pneumonia. Particular attention should be paid to the pulmonary exam, which may reveal tachypnea, respiratory distress (accessory muscle use, nasal flaring, head bobbing, or grunting), inspiratory crackles, rhonchi, or decreased breath sounds overlying areas of consolidation. While many physical manifestations of respiratory disease are nonspecific, the presence of focal crackles has been shown to correlate well with radiographic pneumonia.[49]

Because no single physical exam finding, including cyanosis, reliably predicts hypoxemia, pulse oximetry should be used to estimate the arterial oxygen saturation in patients suspected of having CAP.[6-7] By pulse oximetry, hypoxemia is generally defined as an oxygen saturation < 90-92% on room air.[1,49] The presence of hypoxemia by pulse oximetry has been shown to predict radiographic pneumonia in the emergency department[49] and correlates with disease severity[1,7]; therefore, it has utility in the diagnostic evaluation and in determining the need for hospitalization. Changes in pulse oximetry have been reported to lag arterial oxygen saturations. Because of this, pulse oximetry is not recommended as substitution for cardiorespiratory monitoring in critically ill children.[7]

2.3 Laboratory Testing

Despite commonly held beliefs, serum white blood cell count and acute phase reactants are not reliable at distinguishing between viral and bacterial etiologies of pneumonia.[1] In patients with severe or complicated disease, acute phase reactants do have utility as objective markers of response to therapy. Although there are limitations in the use of the serum white blood cell count in determining etiology, the complete blood count can be useful in evaluating for complications of severe disease, such as HUS.[1] Although rarely clinically relevant, serum electrolytes may be indicated in

severely ill patients to evaluate for the presence of acute kidney injury or electrolytes disturbances such as hyponatremia.[50]

Unless a patient is not responding to therapy as expected, diagnostic testing aimed at identifying an etiology such as serum titers or PCR is usually not available in a clinically relevant time frame and is generally not indicated. Viral testing during the appropriate season, e.g. rapid respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) or influenza testing, may be indicated if the results would impact clinical management, such as decisions regarding antimicrobial therapy, further testing, or the need for imaging. The risk of serious bacterial infection is low in laboratory confirmed viral infection, and a positive influenza test has been proven to decrease the need for ancillary tests, including blood evaluation, CXR, urine cultures and CSF evaluation as well as decrease length of stay in the ED, reduce admission to the hospital and decrease use of antibiotics.[51-55]

Sputum cultures or tracheal aspirates may be helpful in guiding antimicrobial therapy for more severely ill patients; however, these specimens are difficult to obtain in children and susceptible to contamination by colonizing organisms and are therefore not as reliable as bronchial alveolar lavage (BAL) specimens, which are seldom indicated.

Blood cultures are rarely useful in the care of simple CAP, but may be helpful in narrowing antibiotic therapy in patients not responding to appropriate therapy or in those with severe or complicated disease. The likelihood of a true positive blood culture in children with CAP is <3% [1,13,56-59] Frequent false positives occur due to skin contaminants and can lead to unnecessary prolonged hospitalization and excessive resource utilization and treatment.[57,59-61]

Although the greatest risk exists in foreign-born patients or in those with international travel, clinicians should perform protein-purified derivative (PPD) and serum quantiferon gold assay on patients when tuberculosis is a strong consideration.

2.4 Imaging

A chest x-ray (CXR) will usually confirm the diagnosis of pneumonia; however, CXR cannot reliably distinguish among the various etiologies.[62] Since there is significant intra-observer variation in the diagnosis of pneumonia on radiograph [62-64] and there exists evidence that CXRs may not affect the clinical outcome in outpatients who meet the clinical diagnosis of pneumonia[65-66], CXR is not required to confirm the diagnosis in patients well enough to be managed on an outpatient basis. These recommendations must be weighed against evidence that presence of an alveolar infiltrate or effusion (in contrast to an interstitial infiltrate) results in high concordance between interpreters as well as a suggestion of bacterial cause.[67-69] Furthermore, the negative predictive value of a CXR for pneumonia is high.[70-71] Diagnosis of pneumonia by clinical assessment without radiograph may lead to overtreatment and increase in antibiotic resistance[15] and clinical factors alone do not reliably predict pneumonia.[69,72-74]

CXR should be obtained in those with more severe disease, including those requiring hospitalization, deteriorating on therapy, or not responding to initial management within 48-72 hours. In these instances, imaging is useful to document the presence, size, and character of parenchymal infiltrates and to identify complications of pneumonia that may lead to interventions beyond antibiotic and supportive medical therapy.[1] Response to therapy is generally not gauged by changes in CXR findings, but rather by improvement in laboratory markers (if indicated), fever, tachypnea, respiratory distress, or oxygen saturations.

Repeat CXR should be obtained 4-6 weeks after the diagnosis of CAP in patients with recurrent pneumonia involving the same lobe and in patients with lobar collapse on initial CXR if there is suspicion of foreign body aspiration, chest mass or anatomic anomaly.[1]

In general, chest ultrasound or computerized tomography (CT) is reserved for patients with complicated disease, including evaluation of the size and character of pleural effusions. Clinicians should refer to the *Complicated Pneumonia Guidelines* for further instruction on the indications for use of these modalities.

3 Management

Antibiotic therapy is not required when a viral etiology is suspected or confirmed.

3.1 Empiric Antibiotic Selection

Empiric antibiotic therapy should target the most common pathogens, with emphasis placed on *S. pneumoniae* since it is the most frequently isolated bacterial pathogen in most studies, and when untreated, may lead to serious sequelae.[1]

Amoxicillin/Ampicillin is the preferred first-line treatment for *S. pneumoniae* because it has a narrow-spectrum of activity in

addition to improved tolerability and advantageous pharmacokinetics when compared to intravenous/oral penicillin and oral cephalosporins. National *S. pneumoniae* resistance rates to penicillin are decreasing and DCMCCT *S. pneumoniae* resistance rates to penicillin are minimal such that all patients regardless of immunization status are recommended to receive amoxicillin/ampicillin as first line therapy.

The amoxicillin/ampicillin dosing regimen required for effective therapy is directly related to the susceptibility of *S. pneumoniae* strains. Amoxicillin dosed as 80-90 mg/kg/day divided into 2 equal doses (twice daily dosing) will provide effective treatment for fully susceptible *S. pneumoniae* strains (penicillin MICs ≤ 2 ug/mL). When *S. pneumoniae* strains have elevated penicillin MICs ≥ 2 ug/mL, the 80-90 mg/kg/day may need to be divided into 3 equal doses (three times daily) to achieve higher clinical and microbiologic cure, 90% with three times daily versus 65% with twice daily dosing. [75]

Ampicillin dosed as 200 mg/kg/day divided into 4 equal doses (every 6 hour dosing) will provide effective treatment for fully susceptible *S. pneumoniae* strains (penicillin MICs ≤ 2 ug/mL). The typical maximum daily dose is 8 grams/day however maximum daily doses may range between 4 – 12 grams. Adult literature has shown that a maximum daily dose of 4 grams achieves adequate concentrations for effective treatment of fully susceptible *S. pneumoniae* strains (penicillin MICs ≤ 2 ug/mL). Since pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters are similar between adult and children, the maximum dose of ampicillin may be limited to 1 gram every 6 hours for fully susceptible *S. pneumoniae* strains (penicillin MICs ≤ 2 ug/mL).[76-77] When *S. pneumoniae* strains have elevated penicillin MICs ≥ 2 ug/mL dosing up to 400 mg/kg/day divided into 4 equal doses (every 6 hour dosing) may be necessary, maximum daily dose 12 grams.[78-79]

The 2011 PIDS and IDSA Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of CAP in infants and children recommend that inpatients receive alternative first line therapy with ceftriaxone/cefotaxime if a patient is not fully immunized for *H. influenzae* type b and *S. pneumoniae*. However, no evidence was located to support this recommendation and local *S. pneumoniae* resistance rates to penicillin are low such that it is recommended all patients receive amoxicillin/ampicillin as first line therapy.

DCMC resistance demonstrates all *S. pneumoniae* strains have MICs ≤ 2 ug/mL such that the recommended amoxicillin dose is 80-90 mg/kg/day divided BID or TID and ampicillin 200 mg/kg/day divided every 6 hours with a maximum dose of 1.75 gram/dose. Alternative dosing regimens as described above may be considered when *S. pneumoniae* strains with elevated penicillin MICs are suspected or if a penicillin MIC ≥ 2 ug/mL is confirmed.

Azithromycin should not be used alone as empiric therapy for CAP due to unacceptably high national and local resistance rates against *S. pneumoniae*, the most likely pathogen. National resistance rate for *S. pneumoniae* isolates is 56-63% and DCMC resistance rate for *S. pneumoniae* isolates is 51%.

3.2 Empiric Therapy for Patients with IgE-mediated Penicillin Allergies

For patients with an IgE-mediated penicillin allergy or a history of serious penicillin reaction, an alternative agent should be selected that has the greatest activity for *S. pneumoniae*, the most likely pathogen. Alternative agents include intravenous ceftriaxone, oral second or third generation cephalosporins (i.e. cefuroxime or cefpodoxime), or clindamycin. For these patients, based on national and local resistance data for *S. pneumoniae*, ceftriaxone (intravenous) and clindamycin (oral/intravenous) are considered the best available options. Clindamycin is the preferred oral agent over second or third generation cephalosporins because national and local resistance rates are lower for *S. pneumoniae* strains. Additionally no oral cephalosporin at doses studied in children provides activity at the site of infection that equals high-dose amoxicillin.

Providers should consider a trial of amoxicillin/ampicillin under observation if patients report "non-serious" or non IgE mediated reaction to penicillin. Despite 5-10% of the general population reporting a penicillin allergy; recent studies have shown that up to 95% of these patients are not truly allergic. Additionally, the alternative agents are broad spectrum agents whose unnecessary use increase the risk of development of resistance amongst normal bacterial flora and put patients at increased risk of development of *C. difficile* infections. Finally, no alternative agent is going to provide as optimal of coverage of S. pneumoniae as high-dose amoxicillin/ampicillin such that providers should carefully investigate penicillin allergies before deciding to select an alternative agent. [3]

3.3 Empiric Use of Cephalosporins + Clindamycin

A national surveillance study of *S. pneumoniae* isolated from pediatric respiratory isolates of patients experiencing serious or recurrent/persistent infections showed the following alternative agents had the greatest activity for *S. pneumoniae* (ranked most to least active): ceftriaxone (89-95% susceptible), clindamycin (85% susceptible), cefuroxime (69% susceptible), cefdinir (59% susceptible).[83] DCMC resistance data for S. pneumoniae isolates showed the following alternative agents had the greatest activity for *S. pneumoniae* (ranked most to least active): ceftriaxone (100% susceptible),

clindamycin (90% susceptible), cefuroxime (76% susceptible), cefdinir (unknown). Cefpodoxime was not included in the national surveillance study or local resistance data, however it is the preferred third generation cephalosporin over cefdinir due to its superior in-vitro activity against *S. pneumoniae* and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profile. In comparative trials in the treatment of pediatric CAP patients (ages 3 months – 11.5 years), cefpodoxime has shown similar clinical cure rates and improvement as cefuroxime and amoxicillin-clavulanate.[84] The safety and efficacy of cefdinir has only been studied in pediatric CAP patients (ages > 12 years) in comparison to cefaclor. [85] In order to achieve adequate serum drug concentrations for adequate bactericidal killing at the site of action, both cefpodoxime and cefdinir should have the total recommended daily dose divided twice daily which maximizes the time serum drug concentrations spend above the MIC during a dosing interval. [1,80-82]

3.4 Inpatient and Outpatient Treatment Failures

Patients in the inpatient and outpatient settings who fail to show improvement or worsen within 48-72 hours may require broadening of antibiotic therapy to target less likely pathogens such as penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae*, *H. influenzae*, *S. aureus*, or atypical organisms.

In the outpatient setting, amoxicillin-clavulanate with or without azithromycin is the preferred first line treatment for patients failing to show improvement or worsening on high-dose amoxicillin because it provides the most optimal coverage for other pathogens such as non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive, methicillin sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) and because the incidence of penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* is low the addition of azithromycin would have the benefit of providing coverage for atypical organisms such as *Mycoplasma*.

A national surveillance study of non-typeable *H. influenzae* isolated from pediatric respiratory isolates of patients experiencing serious or recurrent/persistent infections showed the following alternative agents had the greatest activity for non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive (ranked most to least active): ceftriaxone (100% susceptible), high-dose amoxicillin/clavulanate (100% susceptible), cefuroxime (90-100% susceptible), cefdinir (80-100% susceptible). [83]

Published reviews on in-vitro activity and DCMCCT resistance data for MSSA isolates indicate amoxicillin-clavulanate provides the best coverage for MSSA. One hundred percent of MSSA isolates at DCMC are susceptible to amoxicillin clavulanate.

In the inpatient setting, ceftriaxone with or without azithromycin is the preferred first-line treatment for patients failing to show improvement or worsening on high-dose amoxicillin/ampicillin/amoxicillin-clavulanate because it provides coverage for other pathogens such as penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae*, non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive, methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus,* and atypical organisms. If concern for penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* is low, ampicillin sulbactam is an acceptable alternative. The addition of azithromycin would have the benefit of providing coverage for atypical organisms.

Ceftriaxone remains active against nearly all strains of *S. pneumoniae*, including penicillin-resistant strains. See empiric antibiotic selection section for more information on ceftriaxone activity against *S. pneumoniae*. Additionally the national surveillance study of non-typeable *H. influenzae* isolated from pediatric respiratory isolates of patients experiencing serious or recurrent/persistent infections showed ceftriaxone activity as being 100%. Though not considered the cephalosporin-of choice for MSSA, ceftriaxone has activity against it and resistance rates described in the literature have been low. [81-83]

3.5 Treatment of Suspected Atypical Pneumonia

Since mycoplasmas lack a cell wall and are inherently resistant to beta-lactams, suspected *Mycoplasma* pneumonia is most commonly treated with oral macrolides, such as azithromycin and clarithromycin. Doxycycline and fluoroquinolones are also effective but used less often because of concerns for side effects such as permanent dental discoloration in patients age 7 years and younger, and the risk of *Clostridium difficile* colitis, respectively (AAP Red Book 2015). Because of the lack of evidence regarding efficacy of treatment[33-34], difficulty in accurate clinical diagnosis [30], and significant growing concern for the development of macrolide-resistant Mycoplasma[86], British experts advocate for treatment of *Mycoplasma* only in limited situations such as severe infection or after a patient has failed treatment with a beta-lactam [13]. The 2011 IDSA CAP guidelines recommended treating with a macrolide in the outpatient setting if a patient's symptoms are "compatible with" atypical infection, whereas they recommend one should add a macrolide to a beta-lactam when atypical organisms are a "significant consideration" in the inpatient setting; however, the IDSA does not provide guidance on when clinicians should suspect atypical organisms and their evidence supporting the efficacy of macrolide treatment is not strong. Based on a review of the currently available evidence, the DCMC CAP Guidelines work group has concluded that, in most situations,

macrolides should not be used as mono-therapy for CAP given the difficulty in accurate diagnosis, lack of evidence towards their efficacy, and the risks associated with not treating S. pneumoniae.

IgE-mediated reactions to macrolides are rare and most patients reporting an allergy experienced a mild reaction. Evidence suggests that the majority of patients who react to one macrolide tolerated other macrolides suggesting little allergic cross reactivity, however most patients will tolerate the initial macrolide if it is given again. If patients cannot tolerate azithromycin, clarithromycin or doxycycline may be considered. [87]

3.6 Inpatient and Outpatient Treatment Failures in Patients with an IgE-mediated Allergy to Penicillin

For patients with an IgE-mediated penicillin allergy experiencing a treatment failure an alternative agent should be selected with consideration for penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae*, non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive, atypical organisms, and/or methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus*. Alternative agents include clindamycin, oral second or third generation cephalosporins (i.e. cefuroxime or cefpodoxime), levofloxacin, and linezolid. When providers consider alternative agents for treatment of this subgroup of patients, they need to consider the spectrum of the initial empiric antibiotic and assess what likely pathogens are not being included. Antibiotic therapy may require expansion to include single or combination antibiotic(s) to ensure adequate treatment of not included pathogens.

See "Empiric use of Cephalosporins + Clindamycin" antibiotic selection section for discussion of activity of alternative agents for S. pneumoniae.

A national surveillance study of non-typeable *H. influenzae* isolated from pediatric respiratory isolates of patients experiencing serious or recurrent/persistent infections showed cefuroxime (90-100% susceptible) had greater activity over cefdinir (80-100% susceptible).[83,88] Though not included in this study, based on in-vitro activity cefpodoxime is the most active oral second/third generation cephalosporin against *H. influenzae* followed by cefuroxime and cefdinir.[81-82,89] Clindamycin provides no coverage for *H. influenzae* as the organism is intrinsically resistant to clindamycin; however, H. influenzae is usually susceptible to azithromycin. The addition of Azithromycin to Clindamycin or use of a second or third generation cephalosporin over Clindamycin is required for the penicillin-allergic patient in whom there is concern for H. influenzae.

Published reviews on in-vitro activity indicate cefdinir is the most active against MSSA followed by cefuroxime and cefpodoxime.[81-82] There is no DCMC resistance data for oral second/third generation cephalosporins for MSSA isolates; clindamycin susceptibility for MSSA isolates is 82%.

Providers should consider a trial of amoxicillin-clavulanate under observation if patients report "non-serious" or non-IgE mediated reaction types to penicillin because no alternative agent is going to provide as optimal of coverage of *S. pneumoniae* and less common pathogens such as *H. influenzae* and MSSA as amoxicillin-clavulanate.

3.7 Considerations for Levofloxacin, Linezolid, and Vancomycin

Use of levofloxacin, linezolid, and vancomycin should be limited due to the high adverse effect profile associated with each agent and to prevent the growth of resistance to such broad spectrum agents.

Levofloxacin, linezolid, and vancomycin provide activity against > 95% *S. pneumoniae* strains nationally and ≥ 99% *S. pneumoniae* strains at DCMC. Levofloxacin has activity against non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive, and atypical organisms; however, its coverage of MSSA is not as optimal as linezolid or vancomycin and it provides no coverage for methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). Vancomycin and linezolid provide coverage of 100% MSSA and MRSA strains at DCMC, but they provide no coverage against non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive, or atypical organisms.

Levofloxacin is associated with a variety adverse effect such as CNS events (seizures, headaches, dizziness, and sleep disorders), peripheral neuropathy, and photosensitivity with skin rash, hypo-/hyperglycemia, prolongation of QT interval, hepatic dysfunction, and skeletomuscular complaints. Additionally, the fluoroquinolone drug class has been associated with increased risk for the development of C. difficile infections. Many providers feel hesitant to use levofloxacin in the pediatric population due to concerns about risk of tendon rupture and tendonitis; however, a recent study determined the risks of cartilage injury appear to be uncommon or clinically undetectable/reversible during a 5 year follow-up period. [90-91]

Linezolid is associated with a variety of adverse effects such as reversible platelet and neutrophil suppression and peripheral nerve injury (peripheral/optic); however, these typically do not occur until the end of the second week of therapy (> 14 days of therapy). Linezolid can increase the risk of serotonin syndrome in patients taking other serotonin reuptake inhibitors, as well as any other drugs that increase serotonin concentration in the central nervous system. Linezolid may be preferred over

vancomycin when treating patients with pre-existing renal dysfunction or in the outpatient setting.[92]

3.8 Antibiotic Selection for Oral Step-down Therapy

Inpatients may transition from intravenous to oral antibiotic therapy after showing improvement in clinical signs (i.e. fever, oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate) and upon meeting criteria for outpatient management (i.e. oxygen saturations ≥ 90% on room air for ≥ 8 hours, ability to tolerate oral antibiotics, mild-normal work of breathing, and close follow-up).

Patients receiving intravenous ampicillin should be transitioned to oral high dose amoxicillin.[1] Patients receiving intravenous ceftriaxone may also be transitioned to oral high dose amoxicillin if adequate cultures are either not obtained or are obtained after antimicrobial treatment has begun and do not document a pathogen as long as the patient was without an effusion, empyema, or complicated clinical course.[1]

It is recommended patients be transitioned from intravenous ceftriaxone to oral high dose amoxicillin-clavulanate if an effusion or empyema was present or if the clinical course was complicated due to likelihood of infection with pathogens other than *S. pneumoniae* (i.e. *H. influenzae*, MSSA).[1]

It is recommended patients receiving intravenous ceftriaxone with suspected penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* (MICs ≥ 4 ug/mL) be transitioned to oral levofloxacin, however the likelihood of this occurrence is low as the presence of resistance amongst *S. pneumoniae* has decreased due to PCV7 and PCV13.[1]

Patients receiving intravenous ceftriaxone due to an IgE-mediated allergy should be transitioned to an alternative agents such as clindamycin, oral second or third generation cephalosporins (i.e. cefuroxime, cefpodoxime, cefdinir), or levofloxacin. Providers should select an alternative that has the greatest activity for the suspected pathogen (i.e. penicillin resistant *S. pneumoniae*, non-typeable *H. influenzae*, B-lactamase positive, and/or methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus*.) See above for discussion regarding the spectrum of activity of alternative agents.

Clindamycin, azithromycin, and levofloxacin are available in both intravenous and oral dosage forms and demonstrate excellent bioavailability such that patients may be transitioned from intravenous to oral therapy after signs of clinical improvement (i.e. fever, oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate).

Patients in whom a pathogen is documented should have intravenous antibiotics transitioned to the narrowest spectrum oral antibiotic based on susceptibilities to limit selection of antibiotic resistance.

3.9 Antibiotic Selection for Suspected MRSA or Life-threatening Presentations

Patients with life-threatening presentations (i.e. rapid deterioration, septic shock) suspected MRSA and those meeting PICU criteria should receive combination therapy with ceftriaxone and vancomycin with clindamycin. Due to declining MRSA susceptibilities to clindamycin, vancomycin is preferred in life-threatening presentations. DCMC local resistance data indicate 87% MRSA isolates susceptible to clindamycin versus 100% MRSA isolates susceptible to vancomycin. Clindamycin may be considered for coverage of MRSA in non-life-threatening presentations and in those presentations consistent with toxin-mediated disease.[1]

3.9.1 Influenza Antiviral Therapy

It is recommended antiviral therapy with oseltamivir be considered in patients with documented influenza virus infection or those experiencing clinical worsening and presentation consistent with influenza virus infection. Providers should weigh the benefits and risks in patients with mild disease and undocumented influenza virus infection because in oseltamivir treatment studies in children no benefit in clinical outcomes such as clinical course or severity of illness has been demonstrated, whereas adverse effects such as headaches, vomiting, and nausea were reported.[1,92]

3.10 Duration of Therapy

A duration of therapy of 5 days is recommended based on studies demonstrating both short and longer courses of therapy are effective for non-severe community acquired pneumonia in pediatric patients. The shortest effective duration of therapy should be selected to minimize exposure of both pathogens and normal flora to antibiotics limiting the selection of antibiotic resistance. Complicated courses require a longer duration of therapy.[1,93-95]

3.11 Probiotics

The use of probiotics containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Saccharomyces boulardii* have demonstrated a decrease in the

incidence of antibiotic-associated diarrhea when provided in doses ranging from 5.5-20 billion colony-forming units/day. A single capsule of Culturelle® [OTC] contains 10 billion colony-forming units of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG*. A single capsule or powder packet of Florastor® [OTC] contains 5 billion colony-forming units of *Saccharomyces boulardii*. Use of probiotics in immunocompromised patients is recommended with caution due to risk of infection from live bacteria or yeast.

3.12 Oxygen use, pulse oximetry, and intravenous hydration

Supplemental oxygen therapy should be administered to patients with oxygen saturations persistently < 90%[(1)], but there is no evidence regarding its use for the treatment of respiratory distress in patients with normal oxygen saturations.

Patients with hypoxemia or respiratory distress should be monitored on continuous pulse oximetry until oxygen saturations are ≥ 90% on room air and they are otherwise showing signs of improvement.

3.12.1 If maintenance IV hydration is required because of vomiting, dehydration or poor oral intake, isotonic fluids are preferred to hypotonic fluids in older infants and children so as to decrease the risk of hyponatremia, which is not uncommon in pediatric respiratory infections. [(50)]

EBOC Project Owner/Physician Lead: Melissa Cossey, MD

Next Full Review: November 2028

Guideline Revision History	
May 2015	Original guideline & algorithms published to EBOC.
May 2017	Updates to language.
May 2019	
September 2024	Combined both Complicated Bacterial and CAP Guidelines into one. Removed "CAP with lung abscess or pneumatocele" from exclusion criteria. Updates to Duration Therapy, changes to antibiotic management at DCMC (Amoxicillin). Removed 2016-2017 antibiograms from original document. Addition of MRSA nares for inpatient (severe) and not responding to first-line antibiotics.

EBOC CAP/ Complicated Bacterial Workgroup Team:
Melissa Cossey, MD
Alec Wesolowski, PharmD
Lynn Thoreson, DO
Marisol Fernandez, MD
Carmen Garudo, EBOC PM
Jessica Overgoner, MSRC, RRT-NPS

EBOC Leadership Committee:
Sarmistha Hauger, MD
Sheryl Yanger, MD
Melissa Cossey, MD
Amanda Puro, MD
Tory Meyer, MD
Nilda Garcia, MD
Meena Iyer, MD
Lynsey Vaughan, MD

References

1. Bradley et al. The Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Infants and Children Older Than 3 Months of Age: Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Pediatric Infectious Disease Society and the Infectious Disease Society of America. Clin Inf Dis 2011
2. Juvén T, Mertsola J, Waris M, et al. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia in 254 hospitalized children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2000;19:293–298. 3. Heiskanen-Kosma, et al. Etiology of childhood pneumonia: serologic results of a prospective, population-based study. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998 Nov; 17(11): 986-991
4. Michelow IC, Olsen K, Lozano J, et al. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized children. Pediatrics. 2004;113:701–707
5. Wubbel L, Muniz L, Ahmed A, et al. Etiology and treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in ambulatory children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1999;18:98–104 6. Maria Ximena Rojas-Reyes, et al. Oxygen therapy for lower respiratory tract infections in children between 3 months and 15 years of age. Cochrane database Syst Rev 2014 Dec 10.1002/14651858.CD005975
7. Sotirios Fouzas, et al. Pulse Oximetry in Pediatric Practice. Pediatrics 2011;128;740
8. Toikka P, Irjala K, Juven T et al. Serum procalcitonin, C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 for distinguishing bacterial and viral pneumonia in children. Pediatr infect Dis J 2000 Jul; 19(7):598-602
9. Don M, Valent F, Korppi M et al. Differentiation of bacterial and viral community-acquired pneumonia in children. Pediatr Int 2009 Feb; 51(1):91-6 10. Korppi M Non-specific host response markers in the differentiation between pneumococcal and viral pneumonia: what is the most accurate combination? Pediatr Int 2004 Oct;46(5):545-50
11. Korppi M, Heiskanen-Kosma T, Leinonen M. White blood cells, C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in pneumococcal pneumonia in children. Eur Respir J 1997 May;10(5):1125-9
12. Nohynek H, Valkeila E, Leinonen M et al. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, white blood cell count and serum C-reactive protein in assessing etiologic diagnosis of acute lower respiratory infections in children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1995 Jun;14(6):484-90
13. Harris et al. British Thoracic Society Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011. Thorax 2011 14. Test M, Shah S, Monuteaux M et al. Impact of clinical history on chest radiograph interpretation. J Hosp Med 2013 July;8(7):359-364 15. Zimmerman D, Kovalski N, Fields S et al. Diagnosis of childhood pneumonia: clinical assessment without radiological confirmation may lead to overtreatment. Pediatr Emerg Care 2012 July;28(7):646-649
16. Hay A, Wilson A, Fahey T et al. The inter-observer agreement of examining pre-school children with acute cough: a nested study. BMC Fam Pract 2004 Mar; 5(4)
17. Sarah Parker, et al., Pediatrics in Review 2013; 34:510-524; doi:10.1542/pir.34-11-510
18. Iris De Schutter, et al. Microbiology of Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid in Children With Acute Nonresponding or Recurrent Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Identification of Nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae as a Major Pathogen. Clin Infect Dis. (2011) 52 (12):1437-1444
19. Elemraid MA, et al. Aetiology of paediatric pneumonia after the introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. Eur Respir J. 2013 Dec;42(6):1595-603 20. Seema Jain, et al. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Requiring Hospitalization among U.S. Children. N Engl J Med 2015; 372:835-845 21. Isaiah D. Wexler, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcome of complicated pneumococcal pneumonia in a pediatric population. Ped Pulm 2006;41(8):726-734 22. Banerjee, Ritu MD, PhD et al. Streptococcus pneumoniae-assocaited Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome Among Children in North America. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2011 Sep;30(9):736-739
23. Bender, Jeffrey M. MD, et al. Epidemiology of Streptococcus pneumoniae-Induced Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome in Utah Children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2010 Aug;29(8):712-716
24. Veesenmeyer, Angela F. MD, et al. Trens in US Hospital Stays for Streptococcus pneumoniae-associated Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013 Jul;32(7):731-735
25. Janapatla, R., et al. Necrotizing pneumonia caused by nanC-carrying serotypes is associated with pneumococcal haemolytic uraemic syndrome in children. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2013 May;19(5):480-486
26. Lucero MG, et al. Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines for preventing vaccine-type invasive pneumococcal disease and X-ray defined pneumonia in children less than two years of age. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Oct 0.1002/14651858.CD004977
27. Kaplan SL, et al. Early trends for invasive pneumococcal infections in children after the introduction of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. Pediatr infect Dis J. 2013 Mar;31(3):203-7
28. Catrin E. Moore, et al. Reduction of invasive pneumococcal disease three reays after the introduction of the 13 valent conjugate vaccine in the Oxfordshire region, England. J Infect Dis. 2014 0.1093/infdis/jiu213
29. Nicola Principi, et al. Role of Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae in children with community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32(9):1281-1289
30. Wang K, et al. Clinical symptoms and signs for the diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children and adolescents with community-acquired pneumonia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012 Oct 17;10:CD009175
31. Meyer Sauteur, et al. Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children: carriage, pathogenesis, and antibiotic resistance. Current Opinion in ID. 2014 Jun;27(3):220-227 32. Meyer Sauteur PM, Jacobs BC, Spuesens EBM, Jacobs E, Nadal D, et al. (2014) Antibody Responses to *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*: Role in Pathogenesis and Diagnosis of Encephalitis? PLoS Pathog 10(6): e1003983.
33. Mulholland S, et al. Antibiotics for community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections secondary to Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010 Jul; (7):CD004875.
34. Biondi E, et al. "Treatment of mycoplasma pneumonia: a systematic review." Pediatrics. 2014 Jun; 133(6):1081-90.
35. Helen Kalies, et al. Invasive Haemophilus influenza infections in Germany: impact of non-type b serotypes in the post-vaccine era. BMC Infectious Diseases 2009; doi:10.1186/1471-2334-9-45
36. McConnell, Athena MD, et al. Invasive infections caused by Haemophilus influenza serotypes in twelve Canadian IMPACT centers. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2007 Nov;26(11):1025-1031
37. Stockman, Chris, et al. Evolving epidemiologic characteristics of invasive Group A Streptococcal disease in Utah, 2002-2010. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(4):479-487
38. Lithgow, Anna, et al. Severe group A streptococcal infections in a paediatric intensive care unit. JPCH 2014 Sep; 50(9):687-692 39. Al-Kaabi, et al. A comparison of group A streptococcus versus streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2006 Nov;25(11):1008-1012 40. Schneeberger, Peter, et al. Diagnosis of atypical pathogens in patients hospitalized with community-acquired respiratory infection. Scand J Infect Dis 2004;36:269-273
41. Dawood, et al. Complications and associated bacterial coinfections among children hospitalized with seasonal or pandemic influenza, United State, 2003-2010. JID 2014 Mar;209:686-694
42. Finelli, et al. Influenza-associated pediatric mortality in the United States: Increase of Staphylococcus aureus coinfection. Pediatrics 2008 Oct;122:805-811 43. Carrilo-Marquez, et al. Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia in children in the era of community-acquired methicillin-resistance at Texas Children's Hospital.

Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2011 Jul;30:545-550
44. Spencer, et al. Necrotizing pneumonia in children. Pediatric Respiratory Reviews. 2014;15:240-245
45. Weil-olivier, et al. Can the success of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines for the prevention of pneumococcal diseases in children be extrapolated to adults? Vaccine. 2014 Feb;32:2022-2026
46. Gounder, et al. Effect of the 13-balent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on nasopharyngeal colonization by streptococcus pneumoniae-Alaska, 2008-2012. JID 2014 Apr;209:1251-8
47. Van Hoek, et al. Pneumococcal carriage in children and adults two years after introduction of the thirteen valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in England. Vaccine. 2014 Mar;32:4349-4355
48. Rodrigo, et al. Pneumococcal serotypes in adult non-invasive and invasive pneumonia in relation to child contact and child vaccination status. Thorax. 2014 Mar;69:168-173
49. Neuman, et al. Prediction of pneumonia in a pediatric emergency department. Pediatrics. 2011 Apr;128:246-253
50. Don, et al. Hyponatremia in pediatric community-acquired pneumonia. Pediatr Nephrol. 2008;23:2274-2253
51. Abanses JC, Dowd MD, Simon SD et al. Impact of rapid influenza testing at triage on management of febrile infants and young children. Pediatr Emerg Care 2006 Mar 22(3):145-9
52. Bonner AB, Monroe KW, Talley LI et al. Impact of the rapid diagnosis of influenza on physician decision-making and patient management in the pediatric department: results of a randomized, prospective, controlled trial. Pediatrics 2003 Aug 112(2):363-7
53. Esposito S, Marchisio P, Morelli P et al. Effect of a rapid influenza diagnosis. Arch Dis Child 2003; 88:525-526
54. Benito-Fernandez J, Vazquez-Ronco MA, Morteruel-Aizkuren E et al. Impact of rapid viral testing for influenza A and B viruses on management of febrile infants without signs of focal infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2006 Dec;25(12):1153-7
55. Falsey AR, Murata Y, Walsh EE. Impact of rapid diagnosis on management of adults hospitalized with influenza. Arch Intern Med 2007 Feb 26; 167(4):354- 60
56. Hickey RW, et al. Utility of blood cultures in pediatric patients found to have pneumonia in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 1996 Jun;27(6):721-5 57. Thuler LC, et al. Impact of a false positive blood culture result on the management of febrile children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1997 Sept 16(9):846-51 58. Shah SS, Alpern ER, Zwerling L et al. Risk of bacteremia in young children with pneumonia treated as outpatients. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2003 Apr;157(4):389-92
59. Mendoza-Paredes A, Bastos J, Leber M et al. Utility of blood culture in uncomplicated pneumonia in children. Clinical Medical Insights: Pediatrics 2013:7 1- 5
60. Bates DW, Goldman L, Lee TH. Contaminant blood cultures and resource utilization. The true consequences of false-positive results. JAMA 1991 Jan 16;265(3):365-9
61. Alahmadi YM, Aldeyab MA, McElnay JC et al. Clinical and economic impact of contaminated blood cultures within the hospital setting. J Hosp Infect 2011 Mar;77(3):233-6
62. Graffelman AW, Willemsen FE, Zonderland HM et al. Limited value of chest radiography in predicting aetiology of lower respiratory tract infection in general practice. Br J Gen Pract 2008 Feb;58(547):93-7
63. Johnson J, Kline JA. Intraobserver and interobserver agreement of the interpretation of pediatric chest radiographs. Emerg Radiol 2010 Jul;17(4):285-90 64. Novack V, Avnon LS, Smolyakov A et al. Disagreement in the interpretation of chest radiographs among specialists and clinical outcomes of patients hospitalized with suspected pneumonia. Eur J Intern Med 2006 Jan;17(1):43-7
65. Swingler GH, Hussey GD, Zwarenstein M. Randomized controlled trial of clinical outcome after chest radiography in ambulatory acute lower-respiratory infection in children. Lancet 1998 Feb 7;351(9100):404-8
66. Cao AM, Choy JP, Mohanakrishnan LN et al. Chest radiographs for acute lower respiratory tract infections. Cochrane database Syst Rev 2013 Dec 26;12:CD009119
67. Shilmol SB, Dagan R, Givon-Lavi N et al. Evaluation of the WHO criteria for chest radiographs for pneumonia diagnosis in children. Eur J of Pediatr 2012 Feb;171(2):369-374
68. Xavier-Souza,G, Vilas-Boas A, Heitz Fontoura MS et al. The inter-observer variation of chest radiograph reading in acute lower respiratory tract infection among children. Pediatr Pulm 2013 May;48(5):464-469
69. Test M, Shah S, Monuteaux M et al. Impact of clinical history on chest radiograph interpretation. J Hosp Med 2013 July;8(7):359-364 70. Hopstaken RM, Witbraad T, van Engelshoven JM et al. Inter-observer variation in the interpretation of chest radiographs for pneumonia in community acquired lower respiratory tract infections. Clin Radiol 2004 Aug;59(8):743-52
71. Nascimento-Carvalho CM, Araujo-Neto C, Ruuskanen O. Association between bacterial infection ad radiologically-confirmed pneumonia among children. Pediatr Inf Dis J Post acceptance Dec 2014
72. Wingerter S, Bachur R, Monuteaux M et al. Application of the WHO criteria to predict radiographic pneumonia in a US-based pediatric emergency department. Pediatr Inf Dis J 2012 Jun;31(6):561-564
73. Ayalon I, Glatstein M, Zaidenberg-Israeli G et al. The role of physical examination in establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia. Pediatr Emerg Care 2013 Aug;29(8):893-896
74. Hay A, Wilson A, Fahey T et al. The inter-observer agreement of examining pre-school children with acute cough: a nested study. BMC Fam Pract 2004 Mar; 5(4)
75. Dean NC, Bateman KA, Donnelly SM, et al. Improved clinical out-comes with utilization of a community-acquired pneumonia guide-line. Chest 2006; 130:794–9
76. McCabe C, Kirchner C, Zhang H, et al. Guideline-concordant therapy and reduced mortality and length of stay in adults with community-acquired pneumonia: playing by the rules. Arch Intern Med 2009; 169:1525–31.
77. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging con-sensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924–
78. Wardlaw T, Salama P, Johansson EW, et al. Pneumonia: the leading killer of children. Lancet 2006; 368:1048–50.
79. World Health Organization. Pneumonia. Fact sheet No. 331. 2009. Available at: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs331/en/index.html. 80. Ross GH, et al. Comparison of once-daily versus twice-daily administration of cefdinir against typical bacterial respiratory tract pathogens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45(10):2936-2938.
81. Parker S, et al. Cephem Antibiotics: Wise Use Today Preserves Cure for Tomorrow. Pediatr Rev. 2013 Nov;34(11):510-23. 82. Sader HS, et al. Review of the spectrum and potency of orally administered cephalosporins and amoxicillin/clavulanate. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 57:5S–12S.
83. Harrison CJ, et al. Susceptibilities of Haemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, including serotype 19A, and Moraxella catarrhalis paediatric isolates from 2005 to 2007 to commonly used antibiotics. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009 Mar;63(3):511-9.
84. Fulton B and Perry CM. Cefpodoxime Proxetil: A review of its use in the management of bacterial infections in paediatric patients. Paediatric Drugs 2001;3(2):137-158.
85. Drehobl M, et al. Comparison of cefdinir and cefaclor in treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;41(7):1579-1583.
86. Bebear, Cecile. Infections due to macrolide-resistant Mycoplasma pneumoniae: Now What? CID 201:55:1650-1651
87. Araujo L, Demoly P. Macrolides allergy. Curr Pharm Des 2008;14(7):2840-62
88. Dinur-Schejter Y, et al. Antibiotic treatment of children with community-acquired pneumonia: comparison of penicillin or ampicillin versus cefuroxime.

Pediatr Pulmonol 2013;48(1):52-8.
89. Jansen WT, et al. Surveillance study of the susceptibility of Haemophilus influenzae to various antibacterial agents in Europe and Canada. Curr Med Res Opin 2008; 24:2853–61.
90. Bradley JS, et al. Assessment of musculoskeletal toxicity 5 years after therapy with levofloxacin. Pediatrics 2014;134(1):e146-53. 91. Bradley JS, et al. Comparative study of levofloxacin in the treatment of children with community-acquired pneumonia. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2007;26(10):868- 78.
92. Chiappini E, et al. Clinical Efficacy and Tolerability of Linezolid in Pediatric Patients: A Systematic Review. Clin Ther 2010;32:66-88. 93. Jefferson T, et al. Oseltamivir for influenza in adults and children: systematic review of clinical study reports and summary of regulatory comments. BMJ 2014;348:g2545.
93. Gao Y, Liu M, Yang K, et al. Shorter Versus Longer-term Antibiotic Treatments for Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Children: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2023;151(6):e2022060097. doi:10.1542/peds.2022-060097
94. Kuitunen L, Jääskeläinen J, Korppi M, Renko M. Antibiotic Treatment Duration for Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Outpatient Children in High-Income Countries—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases,* Volume 76, Issue 3, 1 February 2023, Pages e1123–e1128. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac374
95. Same RG, Amoah J, Hsu AJ, et al. The Association of Antibiotic Duration With Successful Treatment of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Children. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2021;10(3):267-273. doi:10.1093/jpids/piaa055

Complicated Bacterial Pneumonia References:

Background & Guidelines

301. The Management of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Infants and Children Older Than 3 Months of Age: Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America John S. Bradley, et al., IDSA, 2011
302. Zaoutis L, Chiang V. Comprehensive Hospital Medicine Mosby Elsevier, 2007 p 384-85
303. BTS guidelines for the management of pleural infection in children I M Balfour-Lynn, et al., Thorax 2005
304. Position paper on video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery as treatment of pediatric empyema Evan R. Kokoska, et al., Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 2008
305. Impact of an Evidence-Based Algorithm on Quality of Care in Pediatric Parapneumonic Effusion and Empyema Kyrie L. Shomaker, et al., Pediatric Pulmonology 2010
306. Su-Ting T. Li, MD, MPH, Daniel J. Tancredi, PhD. Empyema Hospitalizations Increased in US Children Despite Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine. PEDIATRICS Vol. 125 No. 1 January 1, 2010 pp. 26-33
307. Su-Ting T. Li, MD, MPH, Daniel J. Tancredi, PhD. Emyema Hospitalizations Increased in US Children Despite Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine. PEDIATRICS Vol. 125 No 1 Jan. 1, 2010.

Imaging

308. Lynch T, Baily L, Kellner JD, et al. A systematic review on diagnosis of pediatric bacterial pneumonia: when gold is bronze. PLoS One 2010; 5:e11989.
309. Jaffé A, Balfour-Lynn IM. Management of empyema in children. Pediatr Pulmonol 2005; 40:148.
310. Bradley JS, Byington CL, Shah SS, et al. The management of community-acquired pneumonia in infants and children older than 3 months of age: clinical practice guidelines by the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:e25.
311. Gibson NA, Hollman AS, Paton JY. Value of radiological follow up of childhood pneumonia. BMJ 1993; 307:1117.
312. Virkki R, Juven T, Mertsola J, et al. Radiographic follow-up of pneumonia children. Pediatr Pulmonol 2005; 40:223-7.
313. Yang PC, Luh KT, Chang DB, et al. Value of sonography in determining the nature of pleural effusion: analysis of 320 cases. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1992; 159:29.
314. Eibenberger KL, Dock WI, Ammann ME, et al. Quantification of pleural effusions: sonography versus radiography. Radiology 1994; 191:681.
315. Ramnath RR, Heller RM, Ben-Ami T, et al. Implications of early sonographic evaluation of parapneumonic effusions in children with pneumonia. Pediatrics 1998; 101:68.
316. Merriam MA, Cronan JJ, Dorfman GS, et al. radiographically guided percutaneous catheter drainage of pleural fluid collections. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1988; 151:1113.
317. Hunnam GR, Flower CD. Radiologically-guided percutaneous catheter drainage of empyemas. Clin Radiol 1988; 39:121.
318. Stavas J, vanSonnenberg E, Casola G, Wittich GR. Percutaneous drainage of infected and noninfected thoracic fluid collections. J Thorac Imaging 1987; 2:80.

Treatment/Management

319. Shah SS, Hall M, Newland JG, Brogan TV, Farris RW, et. al. Comparative effectiveness of pleural drainage procedures for the treatment of complicated pneumonia in childhood. J Hosp Med. 2011 May;6(5):256-63
320. St Peter SD, Tsao K, Spilde TL, et al. Thorascoscopic decortication vs tube thoracostomy with fibrinolysis for empyema in children: a prospective, randomized trial. J Pediatr Surg 2009; 44:106-11; discussion 11.
321. Hawkins JA, Scaife ES, Hillman ND, et al. Current treatment of pediatric empyema. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2004; 16:196-200.
322. Sonnappa S, Cohen G, Owens CM, et al. Comparison of urokinase and video-assisted thorascoscopic surgery for treatment of childhood empyema. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 174:221-7.
323. Grewal H, Jackson RJ, Wagner CW, et al. Early video-assisted thorascoscopic surgery as treatment of pediatric empyema. J Pediatr Surg 2009; 44:289-93.
324. Kokoska ER, Chen MK. Position paper on video-assisted thorascoscopic surgery as treatment of pediatric empyema. J Pediatr Surg 2009:289-93.
325. Meier et al. Rational Treatment of Empyema in Children, Arch Surg 2000;135:907-912.
326. Doski et al, Management of Parapneumonic Collections in Infants and Children, J Ped Surg 2000; 35 (2):265-270.
327. Gates et al, Does VATS Provide Optimal Treatment of Empyema in Children? A Systematic Review, J Ped Surg 2004; 39(3):381-386.
328. Gates et al, Drainage, Fibrinolytics, or Surgery: A Comparison of Treatment Options in Pediatric Empyema, J Ped Surg 2004; 39 (11):1638-1642.
329. Hawkins et al, Current Treatment of Empyema, Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2004; 16:196-200.
330. Knudtson et al, Pediatric Empyema- An Algorithm for Early Thoracoscopic Intervention. J Soc Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 2004; Jan-Mar 8(1), 31-34.
331. Kalfa et al, Ideal Timing of Thoracoscopic Decortication and Drainage for Empyema in Children. Surg Endosc, 2004 Mar; 18 (3): 472-7.
332. Coote et al, Surgical vs Non-surgical Management of Pleural Empyema. Cochrane Database 2005 Oct 19;4: CD001956.
333. Kalfa et al, Thoracoscopy in Pediatric Pleural Empyema: a Prospective Study of Prognostic Factors, J Ped Surg 2006;41:1732-1737.

334. Aziz et al Comparative Analysis of Chest Tube Thoracostomy and Video-assisted Thoracoscopy Surgery in Empyema and Parapneumonic Effusion Associated with Pneumonia in Children, Surg Inf 2008; 9(3):317-323.
335. Schneider et al, Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery as a Primary Intervention in Pediatric Parapneumonic Effusion and Empyema, Am Surg 2010;76:957-961.
336. Plackett et al, Thoracoscopic Decortication for Advanced Pediatric Empyema, Surg Inf 2010;11(4):361-5.
337. Meier et al, Complications and Treatment Failures of Video-assisted Thoracoscopic Debridement for Pediatric Empyema, Pediatr Surg Int 2010;26:367-371.
338. Ben-Or et al, Effectiveness and Risks Associated with Intrapleural Alteplase by Means of Tube Thoracostomy, Ann Thorac Surg 2011;91:860-4.

Laboratory Testing

339. Hickey RW, Bowman MJ, Smith GA. Utility of blood cultures in pediatric patients found to have pneumonia in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 1996; 27:721-5.
340. Shawh SS, Dugan MH, Bell LM, et al. Blood cultures in the emergency department evaluation of childhood pneumonia. Pediatr Infet Dis J 2011; 30:475-79
341. Sandora TJ, Desai R, Miko BA, et al. Assessing quality indicators for pediatric community-acquired pneumonia. AM J Med Qual 2009; 24:419-27
342. Byington CL, Spencer LY, Johnson TA, et al. An epidemiological investigation of a sustained high rate of pediatric parapneumonic empyema: risk factors and microbiological associations. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34:434-40.
343. Korppi M, Heiskanen-Kosma T, Leinonen M. White blood cells, C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in pneumococcal pneumonia in children. Eur Respir J 1997; 10:1125-9.
344. Korppi M, Remes S. Serum procalcitonin in pneumococcal pneumonia in children. Eur Respir J 2001; 17:623-7.
345. Korppi M, Remes S, Heiskanen-Kosma T. Serum procalcitonin concentrations in bacterial pneumonia in children: a negative result in primary healthcare settings. Pediatr Pulmonol 2003; 35:56-61.
346. Khan DA, Rahman A, Khan FA. Is procalcitonin better than C-reactive protein for early diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia in children? J Clin Lab Anal 2010; 24:1-5.
347. Nascimento-Carvalho Cm, Cardoso MR, Baral A, et al. Procalcitonin is useful in identifying bacteremia among children with pneumonia. Scand J Infect Dis 2010; 42:644-9.
348. Tarrago D, Fenoll A, Sanchez-Tatay D, et al. Identification of pneumococcal serotypes from culture-negative clinical specimens by novel real-time PCR. Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14:828-34.
349. Casado Flores J, Nieto Moro M, Berron S, et al. Usefulness of pneumococcal antigen detection in pleural effusion for the rapid diagnosis of infection by Streptococcus pneumoniae. Eur J Pediatr 2010; 169:581-4.
350. Balfour-Lynn IM, Abrahamson E, Cohen G, et al. BTS guidelines for the management of pleural infection in children. Thorax 2005; 60 Suppl 1:i1.